arXiv:1006.1733v1 [quant-ph] 9 Jun 2010

Non-Additivity of Minimum Output p-Rényi Entropy

Nengkun Yu* and Mingsheng Ying†
State Key Laboratory of Intelligent Technology and Systems,
Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology,
Department of Computer Science and Technology,
Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China and
Center for Quantum Computation and Intelligent Systems,
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology,
University of Technology, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia
(Dated: June 10, 2010)

Hastings disproved additivity conjecture for minimum output entropy by using random unitary channels. In this note, we employ his approach to show that minimum output $p-$Rényi entropy is non-additive for $p \in (0, p_0) \cup (1 - p_0, 1)$ where $p_0 \approx 0.2855$.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Capacity of a quantum channel for carrying classical information is a central notion in quantum information theory. For a quantum channel $\mathcal{N}$, its classical capacity $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N})$ is defined to be the maximum number of bits per use of $\mathcal{N}$ that can be faithfully transmit over $\mathcal{N}$:

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\chi(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}), \tag{1}$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{N})$ is the Holevo capacity [1] of $\mathcal{E}$ and it is defined by

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \sup_{p,\rho}(H(\sum_i p_i \mathcal{E}(\rho_i)) - \sum_i p_i H(\mathcal{E}(\rho_i))), \tag{2}$$

with p_i, ρ_i ranging over all probability vectors $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ and all collections of input states $\rho = \{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n\}$, and $H(\rho) = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho\log\rho)$ denoting the Von Neumann entropy. One of the most important open problems concerning capacity of quantum channel is to prove or disprove the *additivity conjecture*:

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_1) + \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_2), \tag{3}$$

holds for all quantum channels $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$. Intuitively, the additivity conjecture means that entanglement cannot help to send classical information on quantum channels. Since classical capacity $\mathcal{C}$ is defined in an asymptotic way, it seems very difficult to attack directly the additivity conjecture (3). To circumvent additivity (3), one turns to conjecture that Holevo capacity is additive:

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) = \chi(\mathcal{E}_1) + \chi(\mathcal{E}_2). \tag{4}$$

Obviously, additivity (4) implies that the classical capacity of a channel coincides with its Holevo capacity, and thus the classical capacity is additive. Furthermore, it was shown by Shor [2] that additivity of the Holevo capacity is equivalent to the so-called *minimum output entropy conjecture*:

$$H^{min}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) = H^{min}(\mathcal{E}_1) + H^{min}(\mathcal{E}_2), \tag{5}$$

for all channels $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$, where $H^{min}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the minimum output entropy of channel ε over all pure state $\phi\rangle$, i.e.

$$H^{min}(\mathcal{E}) = \min_{|\phi\rangle} H(\mathcal{E}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)).$$

---

[*] Electronic address: nengkunyu@gmail.com
[†] Electronic address: mying@it.uts.edu.au

As a natural generalization of the minimum output entropy conjecture, additivity of minimum output $p-$Rényi entropy has been considered in recent years:

$$H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) = H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}_1) + H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}_2), \tag{6}$$

for all $0 < p < \infty$, where the minimum output $p-$Rényi entropy $H_p(\mathcal{E})$ of channel $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by

$$H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}) = \min_{|\phi\rangle} \frac{1}{1-p} \ln \mathrm{T}r\mathcal{E}^p(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) \tag{7}$$

for $p \neq 1$. In the minimization in the right-hand side of Eq. (7), $|\phi\rangle$ ranges over all pure states. It is obvious that

$$\lim_{p \downarrow 1} H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}) = H^{min}(\mathcal{E}).$$

So, it is reasonable to define $H_1^{min}(\mathcal{E}) = H^{min}(\mathcal{E})$.

Very recently, a series of surprising results have been reported: Winter [3] provided a counterexample to Eq. (6) for all $p > 2$, Hayden et al [4, 8] further showed that Eq. (6) is false for all $p > 1$, and a violation of Eq. (6) was also observed in [6] for p in a neighborhood of 0. In particular, Hastings [5] discovered a family of channels, namely *random unitary channels*, which violates minimum output entropy conjecture, and thus disproved additivity of Holevo capacity of quantum channels. What remains open is: whether additivity (6) is valid for $0 < p < 1$? In this note, we use random unitary channels to show that Eq. (6) is invalid for $p \in (0, p_0) \cup (1 - p_0, 1)$, where $p_0 = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-h_0}}{2}$ and h_0 is the maximum value of function $h(y) = \frac{2y^2}{\ln(1-y)}$ with $y \in [0, 1]$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

To present our result, we first recall some definitions from [5] and [7]. We always assume that $1 << D << N$.

Definition II.1. *(Random unitary channel) For $1 \leq i \leq D$, we use L_i to denote the length of a random vector chosen from a Gaussian distribution in N complex dimensions. Thus, a probability vector $l_i \propto L_i$ can be obtained. A quantum channel $\mathcal{E}$ is called a random unitary channel if it can be written in the form:*

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^2 U_i^\dagger \rho U_i, \tag{8}$$

where U_i's are $N-$dimensional complex unitary matrices.

Definition II.2. *(Conjugate and Complex-Conjugate channel) Let random unitary channel $\mathcal{E}$ be given by Eq. (8). Then:*

1. *The Complex-Conjugate $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is define by*

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^2 \overline{U}_i^\dagger \rho \overline{U}_i. \tag{9}$$

2. *The Conjugate $\mathcal{E}^C$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by*

$$\mathcal{E}^C(\rho) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{D} l_i l_j \mathrm{Tr}(U_i^\dagger \rho U_j)|i\rangle\langle j|. \tag{10}$$

It was shown that for any pure input state $|\varphi\rangle$, $\mathcal{E}(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)$ and $\mathcal{E}^C(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)$ share the same nonzero spectrum [7]. Thus, it holds that

$$H_p^{min}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}) = H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}^C). \tag{11}$$

Now our main result can be stated as the following:

Theorem 1. Let $p \in (0, p_0) \cup (1 - p_0, 1)$, where $p_0 = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-h_0}}{2}$ and h_0 is the maximum value of function $h(y) = \frac{2y^2}{\ln(1-y)}$ with $y \in [0, 1]$. Then for sufficiently large D, and for sufficiently large N, there is a non-zero probability that a random choice of U_i from the unitary group according to the Haar measure and of l_i in Eq. (8) will give a channel $\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}}) < H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}) + H_p^{min}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}) = 2H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}). \tag{12}$$

The proof of the above theorem consists of a series of lemmas. The following lemma gives a upper bound of $H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}})$.

Lemma 1. If $0 < p < 1$, then for any D and N, we have:

$$H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}}) \leq 2 \ln D + \frac{\ln(1 - D^{-1} + D^{p-2})}{1-p}. \tag{13}$$

Proof: We first observe:

$$(\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}})(|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^4 |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| + \sum_{i \neq j} l_i^2 l_j^2 (U_i^\dagger \otimes \overline{U_j^\dagger}) |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| (U_i \otimes \overline{U_j}),$$

where $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} |i\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$ is maximally entangled. Thus, it follows that

$$H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}}) \ \leq \ H_p((\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}})(|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|)) \leq \frac{1}{1-p} \ln((\sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^4)^p + \sum_{i \neq j} (l_i^2 l_j^2)^p.$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^4)^p + \sum_{i \neq j} (l_i^2 l_j^2)^p &\leq (\ m^{1/p} (\sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^4) + \sum_{i \neq j} (l_i^2 l_j^2))^p (m^{-1/(1-p)} + D^2 - D)^{1-p}) \\
&= (\ 1 - (1 - m^{1/p})(\sum_{i=1}^{D} l_i^4))^p (m^{-1/(1-p)} + D^2 - D)^{1-p} \\
&\leq (\ 1 - (1 - m^{1/p})/D)^p (m^{-1/(1-p)} + D^2 - D)^{1-p}) \\
&= (\ D^2 - D + D^p) D^{-2p}
\end{aligned}$$

where $m = D^{-p(1-p)}$. Then it holds that

$$H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}}) \leq 2 \ln D + \frac{\ln(1 - D^{-1} + D^{p-2})}{1-p}.$$

■

The next two lemmas were proved in [5].

Lemma 2. For any N dimensional random pure state $\rho = |\chi\rangle\langle\chi|$, unitaries U_i chosen randomly according to the Haar measure and the numbers l_i chosen randomly, the joint density of $\mathcal{E}^C(\rho)$'s eigenvalue distribution is given by

$$\tilde{P}(p_1, \ldots, p_D) \Pi_{i=1}^D \mathrm{d}p_i = \mathcal{O}(N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)} \delta(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{D} p_i) \times \Pi_{i=1}^D (D p_i \exp(1 - D p_i))^{N-D} \mathrm{d}p_i. \tag{14}$$

If all the p_i in Eq. (14) are close to 1/D, that is, $Dp_i = 1 + \Delta_i$, where Δ_i is very small, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}(p_1, \ldots, p_D) &= \mathcal{O}(N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{D} (\ln(1 + \Delta_i) - \Delta_i))^{N-D} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{D} (-\Delta_i^2/2 + o(\Delta_i^2)))^{N-D} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)} \exp(-(N - D)(\Delta/2 + o(\Delta))),
\end{aligned}$$

by the Taylor expansion, where $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \Delta_i^2$. On the other hand, the Renyi-entropy of state $\mathcal{E}^C(\rho)$ can be calculated by the Taylor expansion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_p(\mathcal{E}^C(\rho)) &= \frac{1}{1-p}\ln(\sum_{i=1}^{D} p_i^p) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p}\ln(D^{-p}\sum_{i=1}^{D}(1+\Delta_i)^p) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p}\ln(D^{-p}\sum_{i=1}^{D}(1+p\Delta_i - p(1-p)\Delta_i^2/2 + o(\Delta_i^2))) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p}\ln(D^{-p}(D - p(1-p)\Delta/2 + o(\Delta))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the probability density function of $H_p(\mathcal{E}^C(\rho)) = \frac{1}{1-p}\ln(D^{1-p} - \delta S)$ can be roughly given by

$$\mathcal{O}(N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)}\exp(-(N-D)D^p/(p(1-p))\delta S). \tag{15}$$

Let $\lambda > 0$. A density matrix ρ is said to be $\lambda-$maximally mixed, if all the eigenvalues p_i of ρ obey

$$|p_i - 1/D| \leq \lambda\sqrt{\ln(N)/N}. \tag{16}$$

For any random unitary channel $\mathcal{E}$, we write $P_{\mathcal{E},\lambda}$ for the probability that for any random pure state $|\chi\rangle$, $\mathcal{E}^C(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|)$ is $\lambda-$maximally mixed. Let Q_λ denote the probability that a random choice of U_i according to the Haar measure and a random choice of numbers l_i produce a channel $\mathcal{E}$ with $P_{\mathcal{E},\lambda} < 1/2$.

Lemma 3. For any sufficiently large D and $N >> D$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that the probability Q_λ can be arbitrarily close to zero; in particular, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $Q_{\lambda_0} < 1/2$.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a random unitary channel such that $P_{\mathcal{E},\lambda} \geq 1/2$, and let state $|\psi_0\rangle$ be such that $\mathcal{E}^C(|\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|)$ has eigenvalues $p_1, ..., p_D$. We write P_{near} for the probability that for a randomly chosen state $|\chi\rangle$, the density matrix $\mathcal{E}^C(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|)$ has eigenvalues $q_1, ..., q_D$ satisfying:

$$|q_i - (yp_i + (1-y)(1/D))| \leq \mathrm{poly}(D)\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\ln(N)/N}) \tag{17}$$

for some $y \geq y_0 \geq 1/2$, where y_0 is an adjustable parameter . Then

$$P_{near} \geq (1-y_0)^{n-1}(1/2 - \mathrm{poly}(D)), \tag{18}$$

where the power of D in the polynomial in Eq.(18) can be made arbitrarily large by an appropriate choice of the polynomial in Eq.(17), and c is a positive constant independent from N.

Proof: Let $|\chi\rangle$ be a pure random state. First, we put

$$|\chi\rangle = \sqrt{1-x^2}|\psi_0\rangle + x|\phi\rangle, \tag{19}$$

where $x = \sqrt{1 - |\langle\psi_0|\chi\rangle|^2|}$ and $|\phi\rangle$ is some state orthogonal to $|\chi\rangle$. By Lemma A.2 in [9], we see that x and $|\phi\rangle$ are independent random variables, and the distribution of $|\phi\rangle$ is according to the Haar measure in the orthogonal complement space of $|\psi_0\rangle$. Now we see that the probability of having $x^2 \leq x_0$, $P(x^2 \leq x_0) = x_0^{n-1}$ (see [13], Proposition 14).

Second, the second part of Lemma 4 in [5] indicates that for given x, the probability that a randomly chosen $|\chi\rangle$ generates a state $\mathcal{E}^C(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|)$ with eigenvalues $q_1, ..., q_D$ such that

$$|q_i - (yp_i + (1-y)(1/D))| \leq poly(D)\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{ln(N)/N})$$

is $1/2 - 1/poly(D)$. Which is just

Finally, we complete the proof simply by combining the above two parts. ■

The last lemma shows that for large enough D, N and p given in Theorem 1, there is non-zero probability such that $2H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E}^C)$ is greater than the upper bound given by Lemma 1.

Lemma 5. Let p be the same as in Theorem 1. If unitary matrices U_i are chosen at random according to the Haar measure, and l_i are also chosen randomly, then the probability that $H_p^{min}(\mathcal{E})$ is less than $\ln(D^{1-p}-\Delta S)/(1-p)$ is smaller than 1 for sufficiently large N. The N required depends on D, and ΔS satisfies $(D^{1-p}-\Delta S)^2=(D^2-D+D^p)D^{-2p}$.

Proof: Let P_{bad} denote the probability that $H_p^{min}<\ln(D^{1-p}-\Delta S)/(1-p)$. Then, with probability at least $P_{bad}-Q$, for random U_i and l_i, it holds that the channel $\mathcal{E}^C$ has $P_{\mathcal{E}^C}\geq 1/2$ and $H_p^{min}<\ln(D^{1-p}-\Delta S)/(1-p)$. Let $|\psi_0\rangle$ be some state which minimizes the output $p-$Rényi entropy of channel $\mathcal{E}^C$ and $\mathcal{E}^C(|\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|)$ has eigenvalues $p_1,...,p_D$. Based on Lemma 4, for any random state $|\chi\rangle$, the density matrix $\mathcal{E}^C(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|)$ has eigenvalues $q_1,...,q_D$ which obey

$$|q_i-(yp_i+(1-y)(1/D))|\leq \text{poly}(D)\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\ln(N)/N}) \tag{20}$$

for some $y\geq y_0\geq 1/2$, with probability at least

$$(1-y_0)^{n-1}(1/2-\text{poly}(D)), \tag{21}$$

Then, for a random choice of U_i,l_i and $|\chi\rangle$, the state $\mathcal{E}^C(|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|)$ has eigenvalues $q_1,...,q_D$ which obey Eq. (20) with probability at least

$$(1-y_0)^{n-1}(P_{bad}-Q)(1/2-\text{poly}(D)). \tag{22}$$

However, the probability of having such eigenvalues q_i is bounded by the maximum of the probability density $\tilde{P}(q_1,\ldots,q_D)$ which obeys Eq.(20). Assume that $\ln(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{D}p_i^p)/(1-p)\leq\ln(D^{1-p}-\Delta S)/(1-p)$. To simplify our presentation, let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \sum_{i=1}^{D}\Delta_i^2=2D^p\Delta S/(p(1-p))+o(D^p\Delta S),\\ \Delta_i^{'} &= Dq_i-1=y\Delta_i+u_i,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|u_i|\leq\text{poly}(D)\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\ln(N)/N}).$$

Then, it follows that

$$\tilde{P}(q_1,\ldots,q_D)=\mathcal{O}(N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)}\exp(-(N-D)(\Delta^{'}/2+o(\Delta^{'}))), \tag{23}$$

where

$$\Delta^{'}=\sum_{i=1}^{D}\Delta_i^{'2}=y^2\Delta+o(\Delta)=y^2/(2p(1-p))+o(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}(q_1,\ldots,q_D) &= \mathcal{O}\ \ (N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)}\exp(-(N-D)(y^2/(2p(1-p))+o(1)))\\ &\geq \mathcal{O}\ \ (N)^{\mathcal{O}(D^2)}\exp(-(N-D)(y_0^2/(2p(1-p))+o(1))).\end{aligned}$$

Define function $h(y)=\frac{2y^2}{\ln(1-y)}$ for $y\in[0,1]$. When $y_0\approx 0.7106$, the maximum value of h is attained: $h_{\max}\approx 0.8145$. Then for $p\in(0,0.2855)\cup(0.7145,1)$, we can choose sufficiently large N to make $\tilde{P}(q_1,\ldots,q_D)$ greater than Eq.(21). This implies that $p-$Rényi entropy is not additive for $p\in(0,0.2855)\cup(0.7145,1)$. ■

III. CONCLUSION

It is shown that there exists random unitary channel $\mathcal{E}$ such that the product channel $\mathcal{E}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ violates additivity of the minimum output $p-$Rényi entropy for $p\in(0,p_0)\cup(1-p_0,1)$ with $p_0\approx 0.2855$. But for $p_0\leq p\leq 1-p_0$ we still do not know whether the minimum output $p-$Rényi entropy is additive.

We are grateful to Dr. Runyao Duan for useful comments. This work was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 60736011, 60702080, and 60621062), the Hi-Tech Research and Development Program of China (863 project, Grant No. 2006AA01Z102).

[1] A. S. Holevo, Probl. Info. Transm. (USSR), 9,31(1973).
[2] P. W. Shor, Comm. Math. Phys. 246,543,(2004).
[3] A. Winter, arXiv:0707.0402.
[4] P. Hayden, arXiv:0707.3291.
[5] M. B. Hastings, Nature Physics 5, 255 (2009).
[6] T. Cubitt, W. Harrow, D. Leung, A. Montanaro, A. Winter, arXiv:0712.3628,2007.
[7] C. King, K. Matsumoto, M. Nathanson, B. Ruskai, Markov Proc. Relat. Fields. 13, 391 (2007).
[8] P. Hayden, A. Winter, arXiv.org:0807.4753.
[9] F. Brandao, M. Horodecki, arxiv.org:0907.3210.